



(WEAKLY) n -NIL CLEANNES OF THE RING \mathbb{Z}_m

HANI A. KHASHAN AND ALI H. HANDAM

ABSTRACT. Let R be an associative ring with identity. For a positive integer $n \geq 2$, an element $a \in R$ is called n -potent if $a^n = a$. We define R to be (weakly) n -nil clean if every element in R can be written as a sum (a sum or a difference) of a nilpotent and an n -potent element in R . This concept is actually a generalization of weakly nil clean rings introduced by Danchev and McGovern, [6]. In this paper, we completely determine all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the ring of integers modulo m , \mathbb{Z}_m is (weakly) n -nil clean.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R be an associative ring with identity. Throughout this text, the notations $U(R)$, $J(R)$, $Id(R)$ and $N(R)$ will stand for the set of units, the Jacobson radical, the set of idempotents and the set of nilpotents of R , respectively. Following [14], we define an element r of a ring R to be clean if there is an idempotent $e \in R$ and a unit $u \in R$ such that $r = u + e$. A clean ring is defined to be one in which every element is clean. Similarly, an element r in a ring R is said to be nil clean if $r = e + b$ for some idempotent $e \in R$ and a nilpotent element $b \in R$. A ring R is nil clean if each element of R is nil clean. In [2], Breaz, Danchev and Zhou defined a ring R to be weakly nil clean if each element $r \in R$ can be written as $r = b + e$ or $r = b - e$ for $b \in N(R)$ and $e \in Id(R)$. We refer the reader to [8, 1, 3, 5, 7, 4, 2] for a survey on nil clean and weakly nil clean rings.

For $a \in R$ and a positive integer $n \geq 2$, we say that a is n -potent if $a^n = a$. Moreover, a is called (weakly) n -nil clean if it is a sum (a sum or a difference) of n -potent element and a nilpotent element in R . We define R to be (weakly) n -nil clean if every element in R is (weakly) n -nil clean. Weakly n -clean rings are defined in a similar way. Obviously, the (weakly) 2-nil clean rings are the same as the (weakly) nil clean rings. R is called a generalized nil clean if every element in R is n -nil clean for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The class of n -nil clean and generalized nil clean rings were firstly defined and studied in [9] by Hirano, Tominaga and Yaqub

Received by the editors: February 18, 2017; Accepted: June 05, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16U60; Secondary 16U90.

Key words and phrases. Nil clean ring, n-nil clean ring, weakly n-nil clean ring.

©2018 Ankara University
 Communications Faculty of Sciences University of Ankara-Series A1 Mathematics and Statistics.
 Communications de la Faculté des Sciences de l'Université d'Ankara-Séries A1 Mathématiques et Statistiques.

in 1988. Some Other authors called generalized nil clean rings as weak periodic rings. A ring R is called periodic if for every $x \in R$, there are distinct integers m and k such that $x^m = x^k$. It is proved that a periodic ring is weak periodic and that the converse is true if in any expansion $r = b + s$ for potent s and $b \in N(R)$, we have $bs = sb$.

In this paper, we focus our attention on the ring \mathbb{Z}_m of integers modulo a positive integer m . We use the well Known Hensel's Lemma to completely determine when the ring \mathbb{Z}_m is (weakly) n -nil clean ring for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, we determine all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every element $r \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ is of the form $r = b \pm s$ where $b \in N(R)$ and $s^n = -s$. Next, we apply our results for some special values of m and n .

In the next section, we study weakly n -nil clean rings and introduce some fundamental facts and examples concerning this class of rings. Among many other properties, we determine some conditions on n , R and G under which the group ring RG is (weakly) n -nil clean.

2. WEAKLY n -NIL CLEAN RINGS

In this section, we study some of the basic properties of weakly n -nil clean rings. Moreover, we give some necessarily examples.

Definition 1. *Let R be a ring and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $n \geq 2$. An element $r \in R$ is called weakly n -nil clean if there exist $b \in N(R)$ and an n -potent element s of R such that $r = b + s$ or $r = b - s$. A ring R is called weakly n -nil clean if all of its elements are weakly n -nil clean.*

For $n \geq 2$, let s be an n -potent and b be a nilpotent. For $r \in R$, we write $r = b \pm s$ if r is either a sum $b + s$ or a difference $b - s$. Obviously, every n -nil clean ring is weakly n -nil clean. Since the ring \mathbb{Z}_6 is a weakly nil clean ring that is not nil clean, then trivially \mathbb{Z}_6 is a weakly 2-nil clean ring which is not 2-nil clean. For a non trivial example, one can easily verify that the ring \mathbb{Z}_3 is weakly 4-nil clean but not 4-nil clean. Moreover, if a ring R is a weakly n -nil clean, then it is weakly n -clean. Indeed, if we let $x \in R$, then $x - 1 = b \pm s$ where $b \in N(R)$ and $s^n = s$. So, $x = (b + 1) \pm s$ where $b + 1 \in U(R)$. The converse is not true in general. For example, simple computations show that the ring $R = T_2(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ is weakly 5-clean which is not weakly 5-nil clean.

Next, we give some properties of the class of weakly n -nil clean rings. The proof of the following proposition is straightforward.

Proposition 1. *Let R and S be two rings, $\mu : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring epimorphism and $n \geq 2$. If R is weakly n -nil clean, then S is weakly n -nil clean.*

The following Properties (2), (3) and (4) in Corollary 1 are direct consequences of Proposition 1. The proofs of Properties (1) and (5) are similar to that of (weakly) $g(x)$ -nil clean appeared in [10, 11, 12].

Corollary 1. *Let R and S be ring and let $n \geq 2$. The following hold:*

(1) If I is an ideal in R and R is weakly n -nil clean, then R/I is weakly n -nil clean. Moreover, the converse holds if I is nil and potent elements lift modulo I .

(2) If the upper triangular matrix ring $T_n(R)$ is weakly n -nil clean, then R is weakly n -nil clean.

(3) If the skew formal power series $R[[x, \alpha]]$ (or in particular $R[[x]]$) over R is weakly n -nil clean, then R is weakly n -nil clean.

(4) Let M be an (R, S) -bimodule and $T = \begin{bmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$ be the formal triangular matrix ring. If T is weakly n -nil clean, then R and S are weakly n -nil clean.

(5) If R is commutative and M an R -module. Then the idealization $R(M)$ of R and M is weakly n -nil clean if and only if R is weakly n -nil clean.

Proposition 2. Let $R = \prod_{i \in I} R_i$ be a direct product of rings with I is finite and $|I| \geq 2$ and let $n \geq 2$. R is weakly n -nil clean if and only if there exist $k \in I$ such that R_k is weakly n -nil clean and R_j is n -nil clean for $j \neq k$.

Proof. \Rightarrow) : For each $i \in I$, R_i is a homomorphic image of $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$ under the projection homomorphism. Hence, R_i is weakly n -nil clean by Proposition 1. Without loss of generality, assume that neither R_1 nor R_2 are n -nil clean. Then there exist $r_1 \in R_1$ and $r_2 \in R_2$ such that r_1 is not a sum of a nilpotent and an n -potent and r_2 is not a difference of a nilpotent and an n -potent. Thus (r_1, r_2) is not weakly n -nil clean in $R_1 \times R_2$, a contradiction.

\Leftarrow) : Assume that R_k is weakly n -nil clean for a fixed index $k \in I$. Thus R_j is n -nil clean for all $j \neq k$. Let $r = (r_i) \in R$. Then there exist $b_k \in N(R_k)$ and an n -potent s_k such that $r_k = b_k + s_k$ or $r_k = b_k - s_k$. If $r_k = b_k + s_k$, then for each $i \in I - \{k\}$, write $r_i = b_i + s_i$ where $b_i \in N(R_i)$ and $s_i^n = s_i$. Therefore, $r = (b_i) + (s_i)$ is a sum of a nilpotent and an n -potent. If $r_k = b_k - s_k$, then for each $i \in I - \{k\}$, write $r_i = b_i - s_i$ where $b_i \in N(R_i)$ and $s_i^n = s_i$. Consequently, $r = (b_i) - (s_i)$ is a difference of a nilpotent and an n -potent. Therefore, R is weakly n -nil clean. \square

Definition 2. Let R be a ring and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then R is said to have the nil m -involution property if for every $r \in R$, we have $r = u + v$ where $u \in 1 \pm N(R)$ and $v^m = 1$.

We now justify the relation between weakly n -nil clean rings and rings with nil $(n - 1)$ -involution property for an odd $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 3. Let R be a ring and let n be an odd integer with $n \geq 2$. If R has the nil $(n - 1)$ -involution property, then R is (weakly) n -nil clean. If moreover, aR (or Ra) contains no non trivial idempotent for every non unit $a \in R$, then the two statements are equivalent.

Proof. Suppose R has the nil $(n - 1)$ -involution property and let $r \in R$. Write $r + 1 = u + v$ where $u \in 1 \pm N(R)$ and $v^{n-1} = 1$. Then $r = (u - 1) + v$ where $u - 1 \in N(R)$ and v is clearly an n -potent element in R .

Now, we assume that for every non unit $a \in R$, aR (or Ra) contains no non trivial idempotents and suppose R is weakly n -nil clean. Let $a \in R$ and write $a - 1 = b \pm s$ where $b \in N(R)$ and $s^n = s$. Then $as^{n-1} = (b + 1)s^{n-1} \pm s$ and so $a(1 - s^{n-1}) = (b + 1)(1 - s^{n-1}) = u(1 - s^{n-1})$ where $u \in U(R)$. Since clearly $u(1 - s^{n-1})u^{-1} = a(1 - s^{n-1})u^{-1} \in aR$ is an idempotent, then by assumption $u(1 - s^{n-1})u^{-1} = 0$ or $u(1 - s^{n-1})u^{-1} = 1$. Therefore $s^{n-1} = 1$ or $s^{n-1} = 0$. In the last case, we get $s = s^n = 0$ and so $a = b + 1$ is a unit, a contradiction. Thus, $a = (b + 1) + (\pm s)$ where $(\pm s)^{n-1} = 1$ since $n - 1$ is even. The case when Ra contains no non trivial idempotent for every non unit $a \in R$ is similar. Therefore, R has the nil $(n - 1)$ -involution property. \square

It is easy to see that the ring \mathbb{Z}_4 is a (weakly) 4-nil clean ring with $a\mathbb{Z}_4$ contains no non trivial idempotent for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}_4$. But, \mathbb{Z}_4 does not have the nil 3-involution property. Therefore, the equivalence in Proposition 3 need not be hold for an even integer n .

Let R be a ring and G be a finite cyclic group. In the following Proposition, we determine conditions under which the group ring RG is (weakly) n -nil clean. We recall that R is called an n -potent ring if $a^n = a$ for every $a \in R$.

Proposition 4. *Let G any cyclic group of order p (prime).*

(1) *If R is a Boolean ring, then RG is a 2^{p-1} -potent ring (and so is (weakly) 2^{p-1} -nil clean).*

(2) *If R is a commutative 3-potent ring of characteristic 3, and $p \neq 3$, then RG is a 3^{p-1} -potent ring (and so is (weakly) 3^{p-1} -nil clean).*

Proof. (1) See Proposition 3.17 in [10].

(2) Let $G = \{1, g, g^2, \dots, g^{p-1}\}$ where $g^p = 1$ and let $x = a_0 + a_1g + a_2g^2 + \dots + a_{p-1}g^{p-1} \in RG$. First, we prove by induction that $x^{3^k} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i g^{i*(3^k)}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $k = 1$. Since R is 3-potent ring of characteristic 3, one can see that $x^3 = a_0 + a_1g^3 + a_2g^6 + \dots + a_{p-1}g^{3(p-1)} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i g^{3i}$. Suppose the result is true for k . Then $x^{3^{k+1}} = (x^3)^{3^k} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i (g^3)^{i*(3^k)} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i g^{i*(3^{k+1})}$. By Fermat Theorem, $3^{p-1} = 1 + np$ for some integer n . Thus, $x^{3^{p-1}} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i g^{i*(3^{p-1})} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i g^{i*(1+np)} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i g^i = x$. Therefore, RG is a 3^{p-1} -potent ring. \square

By Proposition 4, we conclude that the ring $\mathbb{Z}_2(C_3)$ is (weakly) 4-nil clean and $\mathbb{Z}_3(C_2)$ is (weakly) 3-nil clean.

Proposition 5. *Let R be a ring and let $n \geq 2$. If R is (weakly) n -nil clean, then $J(R)$ is nil.*

Proof. Let $a \in J(R)$. Then $a = b \pm s$ where $b \in N(R)$ and $s^n = s$. If $a = b - s$, then $a + s \in N(R)$. If we choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(a + s)^m = 0$, then clearly $s^m \in J(R)$. If $m \not\leq n$, then $s^{n-1} \in J(R)$. Since also $s(1 - s^{n-1}) = 0$ and $1 - s^{n-1} \in U(R)$, then $s = 0$. If $m \geq n$, then we can similarly see that $s = 0$. Hence $a = b \in N(R)$. Similarly, the case $a = b + s$ gives $a \in N(R)$ and so $J(R)$ is nil. \square

3. WHEN THE RING \mathbb{Z}_m IS (WEAKLY) n -NIL CLEAN

In the main Theorem of this section, we completely determine all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the ring \mathbb{Z}_m is (weakly) n -nil clean. We recall that for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of all positive integers less than or equal m that are relatively prime to m is a group under multiplication modulo m . It is denoted by \mathbb{Z}_m^\times and is called the group of units modulo m . This group is cyclic if and only if m is equal to 2, 4, p^k , or $2p^k$ where p^k is a power of an odd prime. A generator of this cyclic group is called a primitive root modulo m . The order of \mathbb{Z}_m^\times is given by Euler's totient function $\varphi(m)$. It is easy to see that for any prime integer p and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi(p^k) = p^{k-1}(p-1)$. For more details one can see [13].

Lemma 1. *For any $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, the ring \mathbb{Z}_{2^k} is n -nil clean.*

Proof. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, at least 0 and 1 are n -potent elements in \mathbb{Z}_{2^k} . Since $N(\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}) = \{0, 2, 4, \dots, 2(2^{k-1} - 1)\}$, then clearly any element in \mathbb{Z}_{2^k} is a sum of a nilpotent and an n -potent. \square

The following lemma is a special case of the well known Hensel's Lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and p be an odd prime integer. Consider the congruence $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ where $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. If r is a solution of the congruence with $f'(r)$ is not congruent to $0 \pmod{p}$, then there exists a unique integer s such that $f(s) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$ and $r \equiv s \pmod{p}$.*

In particular, for a prime integer p and $1 \leq m \leq p-1$, let r be a solution of $x^m - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then mr^{m-1} is not congruent to $0 \pmod{p}$. Hence, r corresponds to a unique solution s of $x^m - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$ such that $r \equiv s \pmod{p}$.

The following Lemma is well known in number theory. However, we give the proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 3. *Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and p be any prime integer and let $d = \gcd(n, p^{k-1}(p-1))$. Then*

- (1) *The polynomial $x^n - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}[x]$ has d solutions in \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} .*
- (2) *If $\frac{p^{k-1}(p-1)}{d}$ is even, then the polynomial $x^n + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}[x]$ has d solutions in \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} . Otherwise, it has no solutions.*

Proof. (1) Consider the cyclic group of units $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^\times$ with order $\varphi(p^k) = p^{k-1}(p-1)$. Let g be a generator for $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^\times$ and let $a = g^m \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^\times$ be a solution of $x^n \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k}$. Then $a^n = g^{mn} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k}$ and so $p^{k-1}(p-1)$ divides mn . If we let $d =$

$\gcd(n, p^{k-1}(p-1))$, then $\frac{p^{k-1}(p-1)}{d}$ divides m . Therefore, the solution set of $x^n - 1$ in \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} forms a subgroup generated by $g^{\frac{p^{k-1}(p-1)}{d}}$. The result follows since this subgroup is clearly of order d .

(2) Consider again the generator g of the cyclic group of units $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^\times$. Since $g^{p^{k-1}(p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k}$, then g must satisfy $g^{\frac{p^{k-1}(p-1)}{2}} \equiv -1 \pmod{p^k}$. Hence, $x = g^m$ is a solution of $x^n \equiv -1 \pmod{p^k}$ if and only if $p^{k-1}(p-1)$ divides $2mn$ and so $\frac{p^{k-1}(p-1)}{d}$ must divide $2m$. If $\frac{p^{k-1}(p-1)}{d}$ is not even, then $x^n \equiv -1 \pmod{p^k}$ has no solutions. However, if $\frac{p^{k-1}(p-1)}{d}$ is even, then $g^{\frac{p^{k-1}(p-1)}{2d}}$ is one solution of $x^n \equiv -1 \pmod{p^k}$. The other solutions can be obtained by multiplying by the d solutions of $x^n \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k}$. \square

Theorem 1. *Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and p be any odd prime integer. If $d = \gcd(n-1, p^{k-1}(p-1))$, then \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} is n -nil clean if and only if $d = p^t(p-1)$ for some $0 \leq t \leq k-1$.*

Proof. To be brief, let S denotes the set of all zeros of $x^n - x$ in \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} and T denotes the set of sums of every element in $N(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k})$ to every element in S .

\Leftarrow) : Suppose $d = \gcd(n, p^{k-1}(p-1)) = p-1$. By Lemma 3, The multiplicative group G of roots of unity modulo p^k is of order $p-1$ and so $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k}$ for all $a \in G$. Now, By Fermat Theorem, any $a \in G$ is also a solution of $x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. By Lemma 2, the $p-1$ solutions of $x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ correspond uniquely to the $p-1$ solutions of $x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k}$. Hence, the $p-1$ solutions of $x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k}$ are congruent to $1, 2, \dots, p-1$ in some order. Now, $N(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}) = \{0, p, 2p, \dots, (p^{k-1}-1)p\}$ is of order p^{k-1} . If $n_1 + a = n_2 + b$ for some $a, b \in S$ and $n_1, n_2 \in N(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k})$, then $a - b \equiv n_2 - n_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Thus, $a \equiv b \pmod{p}$ which is true only if $a = b = 0$. Therefore, T has exactly $pp^{k-1} = p^k$ distinct elements and \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} is n -nil clean. Next, suppose $d = p^t(p-1)$ for some $1 \leq t \leq k$. If $a^{p^t(p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k}$, then $a^{p-1} \equiv (a^{p-1})^{p^t} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Again, by Lemma 2, the $p-1$ solutions corresponds uniquely to $p-1$ distinct solutions of $x^{p^t(p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k}$. Hence, similar to the above argument, we conclude that \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} is n -nil clean.

\Rightarrow) : Suppose $d = mp^t$ for some $m \mid (p-1)$ with $m \neq p-1$ and $0 \leq t \leq p^{k-1}$. If $t = 0$, then T has at most $(m+1)p^{k-1} \not\leq p^k$ elements and so \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} is not n -nil clean. Let $t \geq 0$ and consider $x^{mp^t} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k}$. Then $x^m \equiv (x^m)^{p^t} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ has at most m solutions \pmod{p} . By Lemma 2, any solution of $x^{mp^t} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k}$ is congruent to one of the m solutions of $x^m \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Choose $1 \leq c \leq p-1$ such that c is not a solution of $x^m \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and suppose $c = a + f$ for some $a \in S$ and $f \in N(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k})$. If $a = 0$, then $c \in N(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k})$, a contradiction. Suppose $a \neq 0$ and let $1 \leq r \leq p-1$ such that $r^m \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $a \equiv r \pmod{p}$. Then $c \equiv r + f \equiv r \pmod{p}$ which is a contradiction. Hence, again \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} is not n -nil clean. \square

Corollary 2. *For any even integer n and odd prime p , the ring \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} is not n -nil clean.*

Definition 3. *Let R be a ring and $n \geq 2$. R is called $(x^n + x)$ -nil clean if for every $r \in R$, $r = b + s$ where $b \in N(R)$ and $s^n = -s$.*

By direct computations one can easily verify that for any even integer n , R is $(x^n + x)$ -nil clean if and only if R is n -nil clean. However for any odd integer n and odd prime integer p , we prove in the following lemma that \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} is never $(x^n + x)$ -nil clean.

Lemma 4. *For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 2$, the ring \mathbb{Z}_{2^k} is $(x^n + x)$ -nil clean if and only if $\gcd(n - 1, 2^k) \neq 2^k$.*

Proof. The proof follows directly by (2) in Lemma 3. \square

Theorem 2. *Let p be a prime integer and $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ where n is odd. Then \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} is never $(x^n + x)$ -nil clean.*

Proof. \Leftarrow) : By lemma 3, $x^{n-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{p^k}$ has a solution if $\frac{p^{k-1}(p-1)}{d}$ is even where $d = \gcd(n - 1, p^{k-1}(p - 1))$. Hence, clearly if $d = p^t(p - 1)$ for some $0 \leq t \leq k - 1$, then \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} is not $(x^n + x)$ -nil clean. Suppose $d = mp^t$ for some $m \mid p - 1$ with $m \neq p - 1$ and $0 \leq t \leq k - 1$. Then $x^m \equiv (x^m)^{p^t} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. Clearly, this congruence has less than $p - 1$ solutions. Thus, as in the proof of the similar case in Theorem 1, we conclude that \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} is not $(x^n + x)$ -nil clean. \square

Corollary 3. *Let $m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and write $m = p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \dots p_t^{r_t}$ where p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t are distinct prime integers. Then the ring \mathbb{Z}_m is n -nil clean if and only if for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$, $\gcd(n - 1, p_i^{r_i-1}(p_i - 1)) = p_i^l(p_i - 1)$ for some $0 \leq l \leq r_i - 1$.*

Proof. We have $\mathbb{Z}_m \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{r_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{r_2}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_t^{r_t}}$. By Proposition (2.6) in [10], \mathbb{Z}_m is n -nil clean if and only if $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{r_i}}$ is n -nil clean for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Hence, the result follows by Theorem 1 and Lemma 1. \square

As special cases, we have

Corollary 4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the ring \mathbb{Z}_n . Then*

- (1) *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathbb{Z}_n is $2m$ -nil clean if and only if $n = 2^k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.*
- (2) *\mathbb{Z}_n is 3-nil clean if and only if $n = 2^k \times 3^m$ for $k, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.*
- (3) *\mathbb{Z}_n is 5-nil clean if and only if $n = 2^k \times 3^m \times 5^l$ for $k, m, l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.*
- (4) *\mathbb{Z}_n is 7-nil clean if and only if $n = 2^k \times 3^m \times 7^l$ for $k, m, l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.*

For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we next clarify when the ring \mathbb{Z}_m is weakly n -nil clean.

Theorem 3. *Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, p be any odd prime integer and $d = \gcd(n - 1, p^{k-1}(p - 1))$. Then*

- (1) *\mathbb{Z}_{p^k} is weakly n -nil clean if and only if $d = p^t(p - 1)$ or $d = \frac{p^t(p-1)}{2}$ for some $0 \leq t \leq k - 1$.*

(2) \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} is weakly $(x^n + x)$ -nil clean if and only if $d = \frac{p^t(p-1)}{2}$ for some $0 \leq t \leq k-1$.

Proof. (1) \Leftarrow) : Let $0 \leq t \leq k-1$. If $d = p^t(p-1)$, then \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} is (weakly) n -nil clean by Theorem 1. Suppose $d = \frac{p^t(p-1)}{2}$, then for any solution a of $x^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k}$, we have $a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv (a^{\frac{p-1}{2}})^{p^t} = a^{\frac{p^t(p-1)}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Clearly, the congruence $x^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ has $\frac{p-1}{2}$ solutions. By Lemma 2, those $\frac{p-1}{2}$ solutions correspond uniquely to $\frac{p-1}{2}$ solutions of $x^{\frac{p^t(p-1)}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k}$. Let T_1 (respectively T_2) be the set of all sums (respectively, differences) of each of the $\frac{p-1}{2}$ solutions of $x^{\frac{p^t(p-1)}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k}$ and each nilpotent in \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} . By imitating the proof of Theorem 1, we can see that T_1 (respectively T_2) has $(\frac{p-1}{2})p^{k-1}$ distinct elements. Moreover, if $a^{\frac{p^t(p-1)}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k}$ and $b \in N(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k})$ such that $b+a = b-a$, then $2a = 0$ which is a contradiction. Thus, $N(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}) \cup T_1 \cup T_2$ contains exactly $(2(\frac{p-1}{2}) + 1)p^{k-1} = p^k$ distinct elements and so \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} is weakly n -nil clean.

\Rightarrow) : Suppose $d \neq p^t(p-1)$ and $d \neq \frac{p^t(p-1)}{2}$ for all $0 \leq t \leq k-1$. Then $d = mp^t$ for some $m \mid p-1$ where $m \neq p-1$. Hence, either $m = \frac{p-1}{2}$ or $m \not\equiv \frac{p-1}{2}$. If $m = \frac{p-1}{2}$, then we get a contradiction. Suppose $m \not\equiv \frac{p-1}{2}$ and consider $x^{mp^t} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k}$. Then $x^m \equiv (x^m)^{p^t} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ has at most m solutions. Since $m \not\equiv \frac{p-1}{2}$, then similar to the above argument, the set of all sums or difference of each nilpotent and each solution of $x^n - x$ will not cover \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} . Thus, \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} is not weakly n -nil clean.

(2) \Rightarrow) : If $d = p^t(p-1)$ for some $0 \leq t \leq k-1$, then $x^{n-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{p^k}$ has no solution and so \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} is not weakly $(x^n + x)$ -nil clean. Suppose $d = mp^t$ where $0 \leq t \leq k-1$, $m \neq p-1$ and $m \mid p-1$. If $m \not\equiv \frac{p-1}{2}$, then similar to the proof of (1), \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} is also not weakly $(x^n + x)$ -nil clean. Hence, we must have $m = \frac{p-1}{2}$ and $d = \frac{p^t(p-1)}{2}$ for some $0 \leq t \leq k-1$.

\Leftarrow) : Suppose $d = \frac{p^t(p-1)}{2}$ then clearly $x^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv (x^{\frac{p-1}{2}})^{p^t} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ has $\frac{p-1}{2}$ solutions each of which corresponds uniquely to a solution of $x^{\frac{p^t(p-1)}{2}} \equiv -1 \pmod{p^k}$. Define T_1 and T_2 as in (1) for the congruence $x^{\frac{p^t(p-1)}{2}} \equiv -1 \pmod{p^k}$, we can similarly see that $N(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}) \cup T_1 \cup T_2$ contains exactly p^k distinct elements and so \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} is weakly $(x^n + x)$ -nil clean. \square

Corollary 5. Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, p be any odd prime integer and $d = \gcd(n-1, p^{k-1}(p-1))$. Then \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} is weakly n -nil clean that is not n -nil clean if and only if $d = \frac{p^t(p-1)}{2}$ for some $0 \leq t \leq k-1$.

For example \mathbb{Z}_{5^k} is a weakly 3-nil clean that is not 3-nil clean for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, we can use Theorem 3 and Proposition 2 to prove the following corollary.

Corollary 6. Let $m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and write $m = p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \dots p_t^{r_t}$ where p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t are distinct prime integers. Then the ring \mathbb{Z}_m is weakly n -nil clean if and only if there

is at most $1 \leq j \leq t$ such that for some $1 \leq l_j \leq r_j - 1$ $\gcd(n - 1, p_j^{r_j - 1}(p_j - 1)) = p_j^{l_j}(p_j - 1)$ or $\frac{p_j^{l_j}(p_j - 1)}{2}$ and $\gcd(n - 1, p_i^{r_i - 1}(p_i - 1)) = p_i^{l_i}(p_i - 1)$ for some $1 \leq l_i \leq r_i - 1$ for all $i \neq j$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Badawi, A., A. Y. M. Chin and H. V. Chen, On rings with near idempotent elements, International J. of Pure and Applied Math 1 (3) (2002), 255-262.
- [2] Breaz, S., P. Danchev and Y. Zhou, Rings in which every element is either a sum or a difference of a nilpotent and an idempotent, preprint arXiv:1412.5544 [math.RA].
- [3] Chen, H., Strongly nil clean matrices over $R[x]/(x^2 - 1)$, Bull. Korean Math. Soc, 49 (3)(2012), 589-599.
- [4] Chen, H. and M. Sheibani, Strongly 2-nil-clean rings, J. Algebra Appl., 16 (2017) DOI: 10.1142/S021949881750178X.
- [5] Chen, H., On Strongly Nil Clean Matrices, Comm. Algebra, 41 (3) (2013), 1074-1086.
- [6] Danchev, P.V. and W.Wm. McGovern, Commutative weakly nil clean unital rings, J. Algebra 425 (2015), 410-422.
- [7] Diesl, A. J., Classes of Strongly Clean Rings, Ph.D. Thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 2006.
- [8] Diesl, A. J., Nil clean rings, J. Algebra, 383 (2013), 197-211.
- [9] Hirano, Y., H. Tominaga and A. Yaqub, On rings in which every element is uniquely expressible as a sum of a nilpotent element and a certain potent element, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 30 (1988), 33-40.
- [10] Khashan, H. A. and A. H. Handam, $g(x)$ -nil clean rings, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae, 79, (2) (2016), 145-154.
- [11] Khashan, H. A. and A. H. Handam, On weakly $g(x)$ -nil clean rings, International J. of Pure and Applied Math 114 (2) (2017), 191-202.
- [12] Handam, A. H. and H. A. Khashan, Rings in which elements are the sum of a nilpotent and a root of a fixed polynomial that commute, Open Mathematics, 15 (1), (2017), 420-426.
- [13] Nagell, T., Introduction to Number Theory. New York: Wiley, p. 157, 1951.
- [14] Nicholson, W. K., Lifting idempotents and exchange rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 229 (1977), 269-278.

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Al al-Bayt University, Al Mafraq, Jordan

E-mail address: hakhashan@aabu.edu.jo

ORCID: <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2167-5245>

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Al al-Bayt University, Al Mafraq, Jordan

E-mail address: ali.handam@windowslive.com

ORCID: <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5748-8025>